Case 2:22-cr-00108-TLN Document 39 Filed 09/14/22 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney		
2	ADRIAN T. KINSELLA JUSTIN L. LEE Assistant United States Attorneys 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
3			
4			
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7			
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-108-TLN	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE	
13	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	WILLIAM JOSIAH SCRIVNER,	DATE: September 15, 2022	
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley	
16		200RT. Hom. Hoy E. Humey	
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	By previous order, this matter was	s set for status on September 15, 2022.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until October		
22	6, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between September 15, 2022, and October 6, 2022, under		
23	Local Code T4.		
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, ar	nd request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case		
26	includes over 12 gigabytes of evidence in electronic form, including body camera footage,		
27	pictures, police reports, criminal history documents, and other related documents. All of this		
28	discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and		

copying.

b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with her client, conduct investigation and research related to the charges, continue her review of the evidence with her client, discuss potential resolutions with her client, and otherwise prepare for trial.

- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of September 15, 2022 to October 6, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Case 2:22-cr-00108-TLN Document 39 Filed 09/14/22 Page 3 of 3

1	//	
2	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the	
3	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial	
4	must commence.	
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
6		
7	Dated: September 13, 2022 PHILLIP A. TALBERT	
8	United States Attorney	
9	/s/ ADRIAN T. KINSELLA	
10	ADRIAN T. KINSELLA JUSTIN L. LEE	
11	Assistant United States Attorneys	
12	Dated: September 13, 2022 /s/ CHRISTINA SINHA	
13	CHRISTINA SINHA	
14	Assistant Federal Defender Counsel for Defendant	
15	WILLIAM JOSIAH SCRIVNER	
16		
17		
18		
19	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 14 th day of September, 2022.	
20		
21		
22	My - Mante	
23	Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 l	A	